Indicative cost (\$/tCO2e)



WWW.AZCLIMATECHANGE.US

## **ENERGY SUPPLY SECTOR GHG REDUCTION POLICY OPTIONS**

CCAG MEETING #2, SEPTEMBER 29, 2005

## Indicative Potential Emission Reductions\* -

High (H): Potentially capable of saving at least 1 Million Metric Tons CO2e High (H): \$50/tCO2e or above

per year by 2020 (~1% of current AZ emissions)

Medium (M): Potentially capable of saving from 0.1 to 1 Million Metric Tons Medium (M): \$5-50/tCO2e

per year by 2020

Low (L): Unlikely to yield more than 0.1 Million Metric Tons CO2e per year Low (L): \$5/tCO2e or lower

by 2020

Uncertain (U): Too many unknowns to estimate at this time Negative (Neg): Cost Savings

\* Several measures may overlap in terms of the emissions reductions. Estimates assume measures would be implemented independently from other measures.

## Indication of Priorities:

**High:** High priority items are deemed deserving of considerable further analysis.

**Medium:** Medium priority items will be carried forward, with the extent of further consideration and analysis to be determined later.

**Low**: Low priority items will be moved to a separate list as options to be potentially considered at a later time.

		Priority: High, Med, Low	Implement. Level & Lead	Potential Emission Reductions	Indicative Cost (\$/tCO2 removed	Co-benefits, Feasibility Consideration
1.	Electricity Sector					
	Renewable and Low Emitting Energy					
	Renewable Portfolio Standard/Environmental Portfolio Standard (including consideration of an expanded EPS)	Н		Н	L/M	
	Public Benefit Charge Funds	Н		Н	L/M	
	Direct Renewable Energy Support: including Tax Credits and Incentives, R&D, and siting/zoning	Н		L/M	M	
1.1.4	Green Power Purchases and Marketing	M		L/M – depends on technology & purchase level	on	
1.1.5	Landfill Gas Recovery (see also Waste)	М		L	L	
1.2	Advanced Fossil Fuel Strategies					
1.2.1	Carbon Capture and Sequestration (CCS)	М		Н	Н	
	Combined H2/electricity production from fossil fuels with sequestration	M		Н	Н	
	Advanced fossil technologies (e.g. IGCC)	М				
	Fuel Cell Development Incentives	М		L	Н	
	Tax Credits and Incentives	М				
1.2.6	Research and Development (R&D)	M		U (L in short term)	U	
	Other Electricity Measures					
	Efficiency Improvements and Repowering Existing Plants	M		U	U	
1.3.2	Nuclear Plant Relicensing and Uprating	M				

					Indicative	
		Priority:	Implement.	Potential	Cost	
		High,	Level &	Emission	(\$/tCO2	
		Med, Low		Reductions	removed	Co-benefits, Feasibility Consideration
1.4	Distributed Generation	,				
1.4.1	Combined Heat and Power Incentive	М		M/H	L	
	Policies and Barrier Reduction					
1.5	Emissions Policies					
1.5.1		Н		Н	U	Issues with implementation level were raised;
	GHG Cap and Trade					some TWG members want only a national cap &
	The sup and made					trade. Others expressed an interest in state or
4.5.0	O constitue Boutenage Otto de la la					regional.
	Generation Performance Standards	<u>H</u>		H	U	
	Carbon Intensity Target	H		Н	U	
1.5.4	GHG Offset/mitigation requirements for new power plants	Н				
	GHG Offset/mitigation requirements for	H				
	existing power plants					
1.5.6		H		U	U	Some TWG members expressed that utilities
	Voluntary Utility CO2 Targets and/or				(typically	are now able to set voluntary targets without
	Trading				L)	any policy, so there is no need to explore this as
						an option.
1.5.7		H		L to H	L to H –	
	CO2 Tax				depends	
					on tax level	
158	Environmental dispatch	М		Н	H	
	Grid and Utility Policies	IAI		- ''	- 11	
	Interconnection Rules for clean,	Н		U	U	
1.5.1	distributed generation*	••			3	
1.6.2	Remove Transmission and Other	Н		U	U	
	Barriers for Renewable and other Clean	- <del>-</del>				
	DG*					
1.6.3	Net Metering	Н		U	U	
1.6.4	Pricing and metering strategies	Н				
1.6.5	Remove Utility Rate Barriers	Н				
1.6.6	Advanced Metering	Н				

		Priority: High, Med, Low	Implement. Level & Lead	Potential Emission Reductions	Indicative Cost (\$/tCO2 removed	Co-benefits, Feasibility Consideration
1.6.7	Time-of-use Rates	H		U	U	CEC estimates 3 – 12% reduction in peak demand as result, emissions outcome ambiguous
	Load Management (no clear GHG savings)	Н		U	U	
1.6.9	Transmission System Upgrading	Н		U	U	
1.6.10	Reduce Transmission and Distribution Line Loss	Н		U	U	
1.7	Education and Awareness					
1.7.1	Brownfield Re-development	M		U	U	
1.7.2	Environmental (emissions) Disclosure	Н		U	J	
1.7.3	Public Education	Н		U	U	

		Priority: High, Med, Low	Implement. Level & Lead	Potential Emission Reductions	Indicative Cost (\$/tCO2 removed	Co-benefits, Feasibility Consideration
2.	Other Energy Supply					
2.1	Natural Gas System					
2.1.1	Leak reduction program	Н				
2.2	Hydrogen					
2.2.1	Incentives for hydrogen development	M				